



IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF ALASKA

In the Disciplinary Matter
Involving:

ROGER W. CARLSON,
Respondent.

Supreme Court No. S-2393

O R D E R

Filed and Entered
APPELLATE COURTS of the
STATE of ALASKA

MAY 6 1988

ABA Files No. 85.206 and 86.137

Before: Matthews, Chief Justice, Rabinowitz,
Burke, Compton and Moore, Justices.

By SB Deputy
CLERK

The court entered an order on January 3, 1988 adopting and affirming the decision of the Disciplinary Board of the Alaska Bar Association recommending that ROGER W. CARLSON be suspended from the practice of law for a period of two years. The order noted that the suspension would be stayed if Mr. Carlson submitted a probation plan that complied with the seven conditions listed in the Board's recommendation, and if the plan was approved by the Board of Governors of the Bar Association and adopted by this court. The order also set specific conditions that would have to be met, regardless of whether the period of suspension was stayed or not. The Disciplinary Board of the Alaska Bar Association forwarded a recommendation to the court on April 11, 1988.

IT IS ORDERED:

1. On a full review of the record in this case, the recommendation of the Disciplinary Board of the Alaska Bar Association of April 11, 1988, recommending that the two-year

Supreme Court Order
Re: In re Carlson
File No. S-2393
Page Two

period of suspension be stayed conditioned upon compliance by the respondent with the revised plan for supervised probationary practice, is ADOPTED. Respondent ROGER W. CARLSON is placed on probation until February 15, 1990. The respondent is ordered to strictly comply with the terms and conditions of the revised plan for supervised probationary practice, dated April 6, 1988, and attached to this order and incorporated into this order by reference, marked as Appendix A.

2. In connection with paragraph 4 of the revised plan, attached as Appendix A, respondent ROGER W. CARLSON is ordered to register and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination given in July, 1988. If the respondent does not pass the examination, he will be ineligible to practice law until he passes the MPRE examination.

3. David W. Rosendin shall act as supervising attorney. A status of practice report shall be submitted to this court every six months during the probationary period.

Entered by direction of the court at Anchorage, Alaska
on May 5, 1988.


DAVID A. LAMPEN
Clerk of the Supreme Court

[Rabinowitz, Justice, dissents. He would reject the recommendation of the Disciplinary Board and impose a six-month period of suspension that could not be deferred and thereafter place the respondent on probation for a period of two years upon the terms and conditions adopted by the majority in the above order.]